

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT  
09/779,357

Claims 2-6, 15-19, and 28-32 may be further distinguished from the combination of Schuetze in view of Chaudhry because these claims more specifically set forth that the visual distinguishing is accomplished through highlighting. Applicants can find no suggestion of highlighting in Chaudhry's disclosure of displaying a sequence of Web documents from a search wherein each Web document is displayed for a period corresponding to its priority level

The rejection of claims 3-5, 16-18, and 29-31 under 35 USC 103(a) as being not patentable over the combination of the Schuetze Publication (20030074368) in view of Chaudhry (US6,567,107) further in view of the Wattenberg Publication (20040205575) is respectfully traversed. The earliest possible effective date the Wattenberg Publication would be the provisional filing date of April 12, 2001 which is after the filing date of the present Application: February 8, 2001. Therefore, this is not an effective combination of references under 35 U.S.C.103(a).

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 1-39 are in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

*J. B. Kraft* 2/23/05  
J. B. Kraft  
Attorney For Applicants  
Registration No. 19,226  
(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Diana L. Roberts-Gerhardt  
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054  
IBM Corporation  
11400 Burnet Road  
Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920000928US1

5